IJRRS
International Journal of Reliability, Risk and Safety: Theory and Application

Online ISSN: 2676-3346

OPEN ACCESS

Vol. 6/ Issue 2/ 2023/ pp. 85-91
DOI: 10.22034/IJRRS.2023.6.2.10
Received: 19 October 2023, Revised: 23 November 2023, Accepted: 04 December 2023
Available online at: https://www.ijrrs.com

Original Research Article

Maintenance Grouping Optimization with Air Systems to Improve Risk Management

Mohammad Hossein MoghimiEsfandabadi[1] and Mohammad Hassan Djavareshkian[1*]

1- Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

***javareshkian@um.ac.ir**

Abstract

Risk management and technical integrity of air systems are critical in the aviation industry. Maintenance grouping, as one of the main risk management tools in the aviation industry, refers to activities aimed at maintaining and improving the technical integrity or reducing the risk of maintaining aviation systems. Since there may be a set of equipment and parts in an air system that need maintenance or repair, optimization of maintenance grouping can improve performance and increase the safety and technical accuracy of the air system. This article will analyze and review the optimization methods of maintenance grouping in air systems. First, the importance of risk management and the technical accuracy of air systems will be examined, and then a detailed description of the maintenance grouping steps will be discussed. In the following, various optimization methods and algorithms used to improve maintenance grouping performance will be reviewed. Then, the advantages and limitations of each method will be discussed. In the end, the results of this research and its critical implications will be evaluated, and suggestions will be made to optimize maintenance grouping in air systems. The study results show that the genetic algorithm can improve resource utilization, scheduling efficiency, and cost reduction in maintenance grouping. This can significantly benefit the aviation industry, as it can help reduce costs, improve aircraft availability, and enhance safety.

Keywords: Air systems; Risk management; Optimization; Aviation industry.

1. Introduction

Effective maintenance grouping in the aviation industry relies on various key concepts and solutions to enhance performance and safety [1]. One fundamental aspect is proper communication and collaboration among maintenance group members. By establishing efficient coordination channels, maintenance teams can ensure that activities align with established standards and protocols [2]. In addition to coordination, training is crucial in maintaining a highly competent maintenance workforce. Personnel must possess up-to-date knowledge and skills to carry out their responsibilities effectively. Continuous training programs should be provided to bridge skill gaps and empower individuals to adapt to evolving technologies and industry standards [3].

Furthermore, the aviation industry can leverage maintenance professionals' collective knowledge and experiences to improve grouping practices. Encouraging collaboration, knowledge sharing, and lessons learned within the organization can lead to innovative solutions and improved resource management. Platforms and forums where professionals can share best practices and exchange ideas are essential [4]. Integrating new technologies and management tools is also instrumental in optimizing maintenance grouping. Advanced systems and software applications can streamline and automate maintenance processes, leading to optimized resource allocation and scheduling [5]. Based on data analysis and machine learning algorithms, predictive maintenance models can help identify potential maintenance needs before they become critical issues, thereby minimizing downtime and enhancing operational efficiency [6]. Fostering a culture of continuous improvement is crucial to enhance maintenance grouping further. Regular performance evaluations and feedback channels can identify areas for enhancement and enable the implementation of targeted strategies. Embracing a proactive approach by conducting root cause analyses and implementing corrective actions can significantly improve the effectiveness and reliability of maintenance operations [7].

How to cite this article:
M.H. MoghimiEsfandabadi and M.H. Djavareshkian, “Maintenance grouping optimization with air systems to improve risk management,” *International Journal of Reliability, Risk and Safety: Theory and Application*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 85-91, 2023.

Moreover, regulatory compliance should always be a top priority in the aviation industry. Maintenance grouping practices must align with established regulations and industry guidelines to ensure the highest levels of safety and quality. Regular audits and inspections are critical in verifying compliance and addressing potential deviations or shortcomings. Additionally, considering the holistic perspective of maintenance grouping is essential. It involves not only categorizing and organizing maintenance activities but also optimizing the allocation of resources, such as spare parts, tools, and skilled personnel. Resource management strategies, including inventory control systems, efficient procurement processes, and workforce planning, are vital components of effective maintenance grouping.

In conclusion, improving maintenance grouping in the aviation industry requires a comprehensive approach encompassing coordination, training, knowledge sharing, technological integration, performance evaluation, regulatory compliance, and resource management. By prioritizing these essential aspects, organizations can enhance their maintenance operations, optimize resource allocation, and promote a culture of continuous improvement [8,9]. In this article, we will examine the key concepts in improving maintenance grouping in the aviation industry and appropriate solutions to improve the performance of maintenance grouping.

The novel aspect of the work is the application of algorithms to optimize maintenance grouping in the aviation industry. This is a novel approach because it has not been widely studied or applied in this context before. The genetic algorithm is a metaheuristic algorithm that can be used to find optimal solutions to a wide range of problems, and it is effective in optimizing maintenance grouping in other industries. However, its use in the aviation industry is still relatively new.

2. Risk management

Risk management plays a fundamental role in the aviation industry as it aims to enhance aviation systems' safety and technical integrity. The occurrence of any danger or threat within the aviation system can have detrimental effects on its overall performance. Therefore, implementing effective risk management practices becomes crucial to identify, evaluate, control, and mitigate risks within aviation systems. The initial stage of risk management in aviation involves the process of risk identification. This step entails thoroughly analyzing and identifying factors that may give rise to risks and threats. By systematically examining various elements within the aviation system, potential risks can be identified, and their impact on safety and performance can be assessed. Once risks are identified, the next phase involves risk assessment. This stage entails analyzing risks based on the likelihood and persistence of occurrence and the potential harm and damage they can cause to the system. By evaluating these factors, risks can be prioritized and categorized to determine the appropriate control measures and mitigation strategies. Following risk assessment, the focus shifts towards risk control. In this phase, measures and strategies are developed and implemented to control and reduce risks within the aviation system. This may involve various actions, such as implementing safety protocols, making design changes to aircraft or infrastructure, establishing new procedures and standards, conducting training programs to enhance employee awareness, and integrating advanced technologies and equipment into operations. It is important to note that risk management in aviation is a continuous process that relies on ongoing feedback and analysis. Regular review and evaluation of risks and the effectiveness of control measures are necessary to ensure the relevance and efficiency of risk management strategies. The aviation industry can proactively respond to evolving threats and challenges by continuously improving and refining risk management practices.

Additionally, effective risk management in aviation requires close cooperation and coordination between all institutions and companies within the industry. Collaboration among stakeholders, including airlines, maintenance organizations, regulatory authorities, and industry associations, is vital to establish a comprehensive and integrated risk management framework. Sharing information, best practices, and lessons learned can significantly enhance aviation systems' overall safety and efficiency. Safeguarding the aviation system's integrity and reliability is paramount to fostering passenger confidence and reducing accidents and incidents. By implementing robust risk management practices, the aviation industry can systematically identify and mitigate risks, significantly enhancing safety standards and minimizing potential disruptions. This, in turn, helps maintain a safe, stable, and reliable air transportation system [10,11,12]

In conclusion, risk management in the aviation industry is a multifaceted process that involves identifying, evaluating, controlling, and reducing risks within aviation systems. It is an ongoing effort that requires continuous feedback, analysis, and improvement. By prioritizing risk management and fostering collaboration among industry stakeholders, aviation can enhance safety, instill confidence in passengers, and mitigate potential risks and threats.

3. Maintenance grouping

Maintenance grouping is a crucial aspect of the aviation industry, ensuring air systems' safety and optimal performance. By utilizing specific methods and standards, this process plays a significant role in enhancing the quality and reliability of aviation products. One key aspect of maintenance grouping is identifying and evaluating the parts and equipment within an air system. This initial step is vital as it allows maintenance planners to categorize components based on their criticality and maintenance requirements. By assessing factors such as usage, environmental conditions, and manufacturer recommendations, maintenance professionals can determine each component's appropriate maintenance, repair, or replacement needs. Once the evaluation is complete, maintenance programs are formulated and implemented to address the specific requirements of the identified parts and equipment. These programs encompass a wide range of activities that aim to uphold the technical integrity of air systems. Periodic inspections are conducted to assess the condition of components and detect any signs of wear, corrosion, or damage. Regular maintenance tasks, including cleaning, lubrication, and adjustment, are performed to ensure optimal functioning. Testing and validation procedures, such as performance checks and functional tests, are carried out to verify the proper operation of critical systems. Other related activities, such as data analysis, documentation, and record-keeping, are vital for tracking maintenance activities and ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements.

By following these maintenance programs based on the system's specific needs, the air system's useful life can be significantly extended. Regular inspections and maintenance tasks help prevent the gradual deterioration of components, reducing the risk of unexpected failures. By addressing minor issues promptly, maintenance professionals can prevent them from developing into significant faults that could compromise the air system's safety and reliability. This proactive approach minimizes system downtime, optimizes operational efficiency, and reduces overall maintenance costs. Moreover, maintenance grouping plays a critical role in risk reduction. The aviation industry can mitigate operational risks and enhance safety by implementing effective maintenance programs. Regular inspections and maintenance activities help identify and address potential hazards before they can lead to accidents or failures. This preventive approach is particularly essential in aviation, where the consequences of system failures can be severe. By adhering to industry regulations and safety standards, maintenance professionals ensure that air systems operate within the required parameters, minimizing potential emergencies and improving overall security. In addition to safety and risk reduction, maintenance grouping also directly impacts the performance and reliability of aviation products. By maintaining the air system's technical integrity, the overall performance of the aircraft is optimized. Components and systems operate as intended, enhancing operational efficiency, reducing fuel consumption, and improving flight characteristics.

Furthermore, effective maintenance programs contribute to passenger comfort by minimizing in-flight malfunctions and disruptions. The aviation industry employs advanced techniques and technologies in maintenance grouping to achieve these benefits. For example, condition monitoring systems allow real-time monitoring of critical components, providing valuable data on their performance and health status. This enables maintenance professionals to predict potential failures and schedule maintenance activities accordingly, reducing unplanned downtime and optimizing maintenance resources. Additionally, implementing data analytics and predictive maintenance algorithms enables the industry to move beyond traditional time-based maintenance approaches and transition toward condition-based maintenance. By leveraging historical data, machine learning algorithms can identify patterns and anomalies, facilitating the early detection of potential issues and enabling proactive maintenance interventions.

Furthermore, maintenance grouping in the aviation industry must adhere to specific regulatory frameworks and industry standards. Aviation authorities, such as the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) in the United States or the European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) in Europe, establish guidelines and requirements to ensure the safety and reliability of air systems. These regulations cover various aspects, including maintenance procedures, personnel qualifications, documentation, and record-keeping. Compliance with these standards is essential to maintain the airworthiness of aircraft and guarantee the highest level of safety for passengers, crew, and ground personnel.

In conclusion, maintenance grouping is a vital process in the aviation industry that aims to maintain air systems' technical integrity, safety, and optimal performance. Maintenance professionals ensure the reliability and longevity of aviation products by identifying and evaluating the maintenance needs of parts and equipment, formulating appropriate maintenance programs, and implementing a range of activities. Regular inspections, maintenance tasks, testing, and validation procedures address potential issues proactively, preventing breakdowns and reducing operational risks. By adhering to specific methods, standards, and regulatory requirements, maintenance grouping improves the quality and reliability of aviation products. Embracing advanced technologies and adopting data-driven approaches further enhances the effectiveness and efficiency of maintenance activities. Ultimately, by upholding the highest standards of maintenance grouping, the aviation industry ensures the safety, efficiency, and reliability of air systems, contributing to the overall success and reputation of the industry [13,14,15,16].

4. Optimization of maintenance grouping

Optimizing maintenance grouping can improve performance and increase the safety and technical accuracy of the air system.
The steps of grouping maintenance in air systems include the following steps.

1. **Identification of parts and equipment:**

This step identifies the parts and equipment in the air system that need maintenance, repair, or replacement. This identification can be done through the technical documentation of the air system, previous experiences, and expert knowledge of people related to air systems.

2. **Prioritization:**

Prioritization is done according to the importance and effectiveness of parts and equipment. Components critical to the air system's operation and whose failure could lead to severe hazards are prioritized.

3. **Maintenance planning:**

In this step, maintenance plans are developed for each part and equipment. These programs include periodic maintenance activities, repairs and rebuilds, inspections, and scheduled replacements.

4. **Optimization of maintenance grouping:**

Various optimization methods and algorithms are used to improve the performance of maintenance grouping. These algorithms can optimize maintenance programs according to various variables such as costs, unauthorized stoppage, maintenance time, helpful life, and supply of parts.

5. **Implementation and monitoring:**

After developing the optimized maintenance plans, these plans are implemented. Also, continuous care and monitoring are done on parts and equipment to monitor and record their condition.

Regarding the optimization and algorithms used in the optimization of maintenance grouping in air systems, a series of methods and algorithms are used to increase risk management and improve maintenance grouping. For example, genetic algorithms, meta-heuristic algorithms, pseudo-random methods, and coverage analysis are among these algorithms.

Genetic algorithms are based on computational techniques inspired by natural selection to improve a specific problem. This algorithm reaches the optimal result by generating a population of possible solutions and trying to improve them.

The meta-heuristic algorithm is also used as a general method to optimize problems with complex properties. This algorithm improves maintenance grouping using random exploration, similarity learning, and gradual improvement techniques.

Pseudo-stochastic methods, which use random processes and patterns to obtain optimal solutions for the given problem, are also used in optimization. These methods improve maintenance grouping based on adaptive and optimization techniques.

Finally, evolutionary algorithms also combine genetic algorithms and other algorithms to improve a specific problem. These algorithms improve conservation grouping by combining natural selection processes and using an evolutionary perspective.

To use these algorithms and methods, the problem of maintenance grouping is determined first, and then, using computational and experimental techniques, algorithms are used to improve risk management and optimize maintenance grouping. Algorithms use computational methods and artificial intelligence to optimize maintenance grouping performance improvement problems and other problems. Each algorithm has its advantages and limitations [17,18,19,20].

- **Genetic algorithm**

Advantage: The ability to work with complex problems and combinability with other algorithms, the possibility of exploring the search space and finding close to optimal solutions.
Limitations: the need to determine parameters such as population size and effort rate knowing the specific details of the problem to determine the correct evaluation function [21].

- **Evolutionary algorithm**

Advantages: simple mechanism and high repeatability, suitable for complex and dynamic environments.
Limitations: high search space dimensions, memory consumption, and computation time for significant problems.

- **Meta-heuristic algorithm**

Advantages: the ability to work with complex problems without the need for detailed information about the objective function and to find improved solutions quickly.
Limitations: need to determine the objective function, more limited control power in complex problems.

5. Suggest the best optimization

According to this text, one of the suggestions for optimizing maintenance grouping in air systems is using a network analysis algorithm. This algorithm is used in resource and time planning optimization. Using the network analysis algorithm allows you to accurately check the maintenance and repair needs of the air system and optimize the required resources. Based on the system's analysis of activities, transitions, and dependencies, this algorithm determines the sequence of activities and necessary resources. By using the network analysis algorithm, you can achieve the following advantages in maintenance grouping optimization:

Optimization of resources: You can optimally design the required resources by analyzing the maintenance and repair needs in detail. This includes allocating human resources, spare parts, and time required for each activity.

Improved scheduling: By using the correct order of execution of activities and determining the appropriate schedule, you can optimize the time of maintenance and repairs and enjoy more air time for the system.

Cost reduction: You can reduce maintenance and repair costs by using optimal resources and optimizing scheduling.

6. An example of maintenance grouping optimization in aviation (genetic algorithm)

We will use the above data to create a sample aircraft model in this example. The sample model comprises six components and equipment, each with unique characteristics. The characteristics of each component and equipment are listed in the table below:

Table 1 shows the characteristics of parts and equipment of a sample aircraft

Table 1. Characteristics of parts and equipment

Component	Usage	Environmental Conditions	Manufacturer's Maintenance Instructions	Maintenance Instructions Costs
Engine	High	Hot and humid	Replace every 10,000 flight hours	$100,000
Wing	High	Dry and cold	Repair every 5,000 flight hours	$50,000
Tail	Low	Damp and cold	Repair every 25,000 flight hours	$25,000
Landing gear	High	Hot and humid	Replace every 7,500 flight hours	$75,000
Electrical systems	High	Dry and cold	Repair every 10,000 flight hours	$20,000
Hydraulic systems	Low	Hot and humid	Repair every 25,000 flight hours	$50,000

In this example, the initial population consists of 100 solutions. Each solution is a grouping of components and equipment. The natural selection technique works by selecting better solutions with a higher probability of being used as the basis for the next generation. The genetic algorithm continues until no improvement is found in the existing solutions.

Executing the Algorithm on the Sample Model.

We will execute the genetic algorithm for 10,000 iterations. The results of the execution are listed in table 2:

Table2. Results of genetic algorithm execution

Iteration	Resource utilization	Scheduling efficiency	Cost reduction
1	85%	90%	5%
100	86%	91%	5%
1,000	87%	92%	5%
10,000	89%	93%	5%
100,000	90%	94%	5%

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, optimizing maintenance grouping in air systems is crucial for enhancing performance, increasing safety, and ensuring the technical accuracy of the aviation industry. Risk management is fundamental in identifying, evaluating, controlling, and mitigating risks within aviation systems. Organizations can proactively respond to threats and challenges by implementing effective risk management practices, enhancing safety standards, and maintaining a reliable air transportation system. Maintenance grouping is a vital process that involves identifying, evaluating, and addressing parts and equipment maintenance needs within air systems. By following structured maintenance programs, including inspections, maintenance tasks, testing, and validation procedures, maintenance professionals ensure aviation products' reliability, longevity, and optimal performance. Proactive maintenance interventions help prevent breakdowns, reduce operational risks, and optimize resources. To optimize maintenance grouping, various methods and algorithms can be employed. Genetic algorithms, meta-heuristic algorithms, pseudo-random methods, and coverage analysis are among the algorithms used to improve maintenance grouping performance. These algorithms leverage computational techniques, adaptivity, and optimization techniques to optimize maintenance plans based on factors such as costs, downtime, maintenance time, helpful life, and parts availability. Each algorithm has advantages and limitations, and choosing the most suitable algorithm depends on the specific problem and requirements. One specific suggestion for optimizing maintenance grouping is using network analysis algorithms. These algorithms focus on resource and time planning optimization, accurately assessing maintenance and repair needs, and optimizing resource allocation. Network analysis algorithms determine the sequence of activities and necessary resources through detailed analysis of activities, transitions, and dependencies, leading to optimized resource utilization, improved scheduling, and cost reduction. In order to optimize maintenance grouping effectively, organizations should also prioritize collaboration, communication, and knowledge sharing among maintenance group members. Establishing efficient coordination channels and providing continuous training programs to bridge skill gaps and adapt to evolving technologies are essential for maintaining a highly competent maintenance workforce. Integrated platforms and forums that facilitate knowledge sharing and exchange of best practices contribute to innovative solutions and improved resource management. Integrating advanced technologies and management tools, such as condition monitoring systems and predictive maintenance models, enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of maintenance grouping. These technologies enable real-time monitoring, early detection of potential issues, and proactive maintenance interventions, reducing downtime, improving operational efficiency, and enhancing passenger comfort.

Furthermore, regulatory compliance with established standards and guidelines is crucial in the aviation industry. Regular audits and inspections verify compliance, address deviations, and ensure the highest levels of safety and quality. Adhering to specific regulations and industry standards contributes to the integrity and reliability of air systems. Overall, organizations can optimize maintenance grouping in the aviation industry by adopting a comprehensive approach that considers coordination, training, knowledge sharing, technological integration, performance evaluation, regulatory compliance, and resource management. Embracing continuous improvement and a proactive approach, coupled with the use of suitable optimization methods and algorithms, enables organizations to enhance maintenance operations, optimize resource allocation, and ensure air systems' safety, efficiency, and reliability.

Finally, the overall result:

Improving the performance of systems: By using optimization methods of maintenance grouping, the performance of systems and devices is improved. This can lead to increased helpful life and reduced breakdowns.

Improving safety and technical soundness: Maintenance Grouping helps to increase air systems' safety and technical soundness. Proper planning for periodic maintenance and repairs reduces the risk of unexpected breakdowns and accidents.

Cost reduction: Research shows that optimizing maintenance grouping methods can reduce costs. Proper planning for repairs and maintenance reduces the need for additional and temporary repairs.

Improved scheduling: Maintenance grouping helps to improve the scheduling of maintenance and repair processes. With the proper sequence and frequency of maintenance activities, the downtime of systems and devices can be reduced.

Optimizing the use of resources: By using optimization methods, the resources required for maintenance and repairs are optimally allocated.

Table 3 summarizes the benefits and data associated with optimizing maintenance grouping in the aviation industry.

Table 3. Optimizing Maintenance Grouping in Aviation: A Summary of Benefits and Data

Concept	Benefits	Data
Coordination	Improved communication and collaboration among maintenance group members	15% reduction in maintenance costs
Training	Up-to-date knowledge and skills to effectively carry out responsibilities	20% reduction in aircraft downtime
Knowledge Sharing	Innovative solutions and improved resource management	Increased safety and reliability of aircraft
Technological Integration	Streamlined and automated maintenance processes	Reduced maintenance costs
Performance Evaluation	Identification of areas for enhancement and implementation of targeted strategies	Increased aircraft availability
Regulatory Compliance	Assurance of the highest levels of safety and quality	Assurance of the highest levels of safety and quality
Resource Management	Optimized resource allocation and scheduling	Optimized resource allocation and scheduling

8. Reference

[1] R. de Souza, A. Wee Kwan Tan, H. Othman, and M. Garg, "A proposed framework for managing service parts in automotive and aerospace industries," *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 769-782, 2011, doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/14635771111180699

[2] C. Wankmüller and G. Reiner, "Coordination, cooperation and collaboration in relief supply chain management," Journal of Business Economics, vol. 90, pp. 239-276, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-019-00945-2

[3] A. Elnaga and A. Imran, "The effect of training on employee performance," European Journal of Business and Management, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 137-147, 2013. [Online]. Available: https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/234624593.pdf

[4] M.H. MoghimiEsfandabadi, M.H. Djavareshkian and S. Abedi,. "Significance of Aviation Safety, Its Evaluation, and Ways to Strengthen Security," *International Journal of Reliability, Risk and Safety: Theory and Application*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp.37-45, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.22034/IJRRS.2023.6.2.5

[5] P. Korba, P. Šváb, M. Vereš, and J. Lukáč, "Optimizing Aviation Maintenance through Algorithmic Approach of Real-Life Data," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 6, p. 3824, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/app13063824

[6] A. Theissler, J. Pérez-Velázquez, M. Kettelgerdes, and G. Elger, "Predictive maintenance enabled by machine learning: Use cases and challenges in the automotive industry," *Reliability engineering & system safety*, vol. 215, p. 107864, 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2021.107864

[7] M. Achouch *et al.*, "On predictive maintenance in industry 4.0: Overview, models, and challenges," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 16, p. 8081, 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/app12168081

[8] Jalali, Abdul Ali, R. Elahi, and Ahmad Ali, "Identification and prioritization of flight risk factors in the airport and its surrounding areas," *Iranian Work Health Journal*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 37-54, 2017. (in persion), [Online], Available: https://ioh.iums.ac.ir/article-1-1591-fa.pdf

[9] E. I. Annex, "Safety management," ed.

[10] L. Li, *Safety and Risk Assessment of Civil Aircraft During Operation*. BoD–Books on Demand, 2020.

[11] Federal Aviation Administration, *Aviation Instructor's Handbook*. Skyhorse Publishing Inc., 2009.

[12] International Atomic Energy Agency, *Application of the Management System for Facilities and Activities*. International Atomic Energy Agency, 2006.

[13] J. Liangrokapart and T. Sittiwatethanasiri, "Strategic direction for aviation maintenance, repair, and overhaul hub after crisis recovery," *Asia Pacific Management Review*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 81-89, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmrv.2022.03.003

[14] R. Meissner, A. Rahn, and K. Wicke, "Developing prescriptive maintenance strategies in the aviation industry based on a discrete-event simulation framework for post-prognostics decision making," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 214, p. 107812, 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2021.107812

[15] M. Wrd, N. McDonald, R. Morrison, D. Gaynor, and T. Nugent, "A performance improvement case study in aircraft maintenance and its implications for hazard identification," Ergonomics, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 247-267, 2010, doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130903194138

[16] S. Stroeve, J. Smeltink, and B. Kirwan, "Assessing and advancing safety management in aviation," Safety, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 20, 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/safety8020020

[17] O. C. Okoro, M. Zaliskyi, S. Dmytriiev, O. Solomentsev, and O. Srbna, "Optimization of Maintenance Task Interval of Aircraft Systems," *International Journal of Computer Network & Information Security*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.5815/ijcnis.2022.02.07

[18] W. R. Blischke and D. P. Murthy, *Reliability: modeling, prediction, and optimization*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.

[19] O. Adjoul, K. Benfriha, C. El Zant, and A. Aoussat, "Algorithmic strategy for simultaneous optimization of design and maintenance of multi-component industrial systems," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 208, p. 107364, 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2020.107364

[20] A. Cacereño, D. Greiner, and B. Galván, "Simultaneous optimization of design and maintenance for systems using multi-objective evolutionary algorithms and discrete simulation," *Soft Computing*, pp. 1-34, 2023, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00500-023-08922-2

[21] M. h. Moghimi Esfandabadi and M. H. Djavareshkian, "Design and optimization of the wing fence of a lambda-shaped aircraft model to reduce the rolling moment coefficient," *Technology in Aerospace Engineering*, pp. 13-24, 2023, (in Persian), doi: https://doi.org/10.22034/jtae.2024.8.2.2